

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 4680 of 2021**

Smt. Sulochana Verma W/o Ashok Kumar Verma, Aged About 54 Years, Presently Working On The Post Headmaster At Govt. Middle School Amadand, Block Khadgava, District Koriya, Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Directorate Of Public Education, Indrawati Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer / In Charge District Project Director, Rajiv Gandhi Siksha Mission Koriya (Baikunthpur) District Koriya Chhattisgarh
4. The District Education Officer, Baikunthpur (Koriya) District Koriya Chhattisgarh
5. Block Education Officer Khadgava District Koriya Chhattisgarh
6. Ramesh Kumar Halwai Working On The Post Of Lecturer (L.B.) At Govt. High School Amadand, Block Khadgava, District Koriya Chhattisgarh

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. A. N. Pandey, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Abhyunati Singh, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****06.09.2021**

1. The grievance of petitioner in the present writ petition is the appointment of respondent no.6 as Circle In-charge of Circle Resource

Center, Amadand, Block Khadgava, District Koriya.

2. According to petitioner, she is much senior to respondent no.6 and therefore she should have been made Circle In-charge of Circle Resource Center, Amadand on account of her seniority. According to petitioner, the appointment of respondent no.6, a person who is much junior to the petitioner, is contrary to the circular issued by the State Govt. on 04.08.2011 and 29.01.2020, both of which are still holding the filed.
3. Given the said nature of dispute, let the petitioner make an appropriate detailed representation to the respondents 2 to 5 within a period of 2 weeks from today and the respondents 2 to 5 in turn shall consider the representation of petitioner within a further period of 45 days from the date of receipt of representation of petitioner. While deciding the same, the respondents 2 to 5 are expected to firstly consider the seniority of petitioner and also verify the two circulars dated 04.08.2011 and 29.01.2020 issued by the respondent State so far as granting of charge is concerned.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**