

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 4836 of 2021

1. Bhed Lal Joshi S/o Late Shri Madho Prasad Joshi, Aged About 62 Years R/o Asd/ 129, Asma Colony Phase-3, Near Water Tank, Sakri, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. District Education Officer, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
3. Accountant General Treasury, Account And Pension, Zero Point, Baloda Bazar Road, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Block Education Officer, Pali, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
5. The President Retiral Dues Committee, Office Of Accountant General, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
6. Joint Director, Treasury, Account And Pension, Composite Building, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri HB Agrawal, Sr. Advocate along with Shri Amit Tirkey, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Ayaz Naved, Govt. Advocate.
For Respondent No.3	:	Shri Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

13.09.2021.

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the non settlement of the GPF amount payable to the petitioner upon his retirement.
2. The petitioner stood retired from service on the post of Head Master Primary School w.e.f. 30.09.2020. According to the petitioner as per GPF passbook maintained by the employer, he has a balance of Rs.2,90,421/- whereas, as per the GPF account of the petitioner maintained by the respondent No.3 there is a negative balance of Rs.8,03,786/-. According to the petitioner, the negative balance shown by the respondent No.3 is not proper as an amount of Rs. 2,90,421/- has already been further endorsed by the District Education Officer and other State authorities.

3. Given the fact that the entire dispute revolves around the providing fund account maintained by the respondents No.1,2 and 4 to 6 and other provident fund account maintained by the office of the respondent No.3, the entire dispute can be resolved subject to records of two establishments being verified and scrutinized after due verification of the service book of the petitioner in respect of all credits made to his GPF account and also in respect of withdrawal, if any, made by the petitioner during his service period.
4. Let the respondents No.2 to 4 along with respondent No.6 take necessary steps in scrutinizing the GPF account of the petitioner maintained in the office of respondent No.3 with the GPF account of the petitioner maintained in the office of the respondents No.2,4 and 6. Let this scrutiny be done within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The respondents No.2 to 4 and 6 are further directed to also call upon the petitioner to provide his explanation and details, if any, in respect of GPF account before taking a final decision for settlement of the GPF amount.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge