

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 4616 of 2021**

S.O. Nashine Son Of Omprakash Nashine Aged About 60 Years Working  
As Homeopathy Medical Officer, Government Homeopathy Hospital,  
Balod, District- Balod (Chhattisgarh)

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Medical Education (Ayush),  
Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur,  
District - Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Director Ayurved, Yog And Natural Medical, Unani Sidha And  
Homeopathy (Ayush), Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Collector Balod, District- Balod (Chhattisgarh)
4. The District Ayurved Officer Balod, District- Balod (Chhattisgarh)
5. Dr. Kaleshwari Sonwani Working As Homeopathy Medical Officer, Govt.  
Ayush Polytechnic, Dantewada, District- Dantewada (Chhattisgarh)

**---- Respondents**

|                |   |                                                                    |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. Rohan Patnaik, on behalf of<br>Mr. Goutam Khetrapal, Advocate. |
| For State      | : | Ms. Akansha Jain, Dy. GA                                           |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**  
**Order on Board**

**02/09/2021**

1. Aggrieved by the order of transfer dated 12.08.2021 transferring the  
petitioner from Balod to Dantewada, the present writ petition has been  
filed.
2. During the course of the hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner makes  
submission that petitioner has been in the present place of posting since  
2009 onwards i.e. a period of well over 12 years .

3. Given the period that petitioner has worked at the present place of posting the impugned order of transfer cannot be said to be either malafides or arbitrary.
4. As regards the guidelines pertaining to the transfer of government employees, the only limited relief that petitioner can be given is that of making suitable representation to the respondent authorities who can be ventilating his grievance.
5. Subject to petitioner making an appropriate suitable representation before the respondent authorities, it is expected that respondent authorities shall take an appropriate decision at the earliest preferably within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
6. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-  
**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
**Judge**

Rohit