

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCRC No. 7518 of 2020**

- Satnam Singh Randhava S/o Balwant Singh Aged About 55 Years R/o F - 240 Vikaspuri New Delhi (India), District : New Delhi,

---- Applicant

Versus

- State of Chhattisgarh, Through, Station House Officer, Police Station - Masturi District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant. : Mr. Awadh Tripathi, Advocate.
 For Respondent/State : Mr. Rakesh Sahu, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey**Order on Board****29.01.2021**

1. The accused/applicant has moved this **Third Bail Application** under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for releasing him on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No. 124/2017 registered at Police Station -Masturi, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 420, 34 of IPC and Sections 4, 5, 6 & 10 of the Chhattisgarh Protection of Interest of Depositors Act.
2. Earlier bail applications of the applicant were dismissed as withdrawn in MCRC No. 8374/2019 and in MCRC No. 152/2020 and connected matters vide orders dated 02.01.2020 and 05.03.2020 respectively.
3. As per the case of prosecution, the applicant along with other co-accused person have cheated the investors saying that the money would be doubled in five years and provided them forged policy bonds, without having registration for doing the work and collected Rs. 19,03,735/- and thereafter, they closed the office.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. He next submits that the applicant is not the owner of the company and he was only working in that company and some other co-accused persons have assured the complainant and collected the above mentioned amount before 6-7 years. He further submits that the present applicant in the other case of the same manner has been granted bail by this Court on 06.01.2021 passed in MCRC No. 7075/2020. As the applicant is in jail since 06.02.2019 and he is ready to furnish adequate surety and shall abide by all the conditions and directions, which may be imposed by this court, therefore, the present applicant may be released on bail.
5. Per contra, State counsel opposes the bail application.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation and in particular the fact that the applicant in MCRC 7075/2020 has already been granted bail by this Court, I am of the opinion that present is a fit case to release the applicant on bail.
8. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed.
9. It is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs. 2,00,000/- with one local surety in like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court for his appearance before the said Court as and when directed till the disposal of the trial.
10. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Rajani Dubey)
Judge

Vijay Sahu