

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 4687 of 2021**

1. S.R. Netam S/o Late Shri Prem Singh Netam Aged About 61 Years R/o Housing Board Colony, Boriyakala, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Sumant Sai Kuruvanshi S/o Late Shri Ratnu Sai Kuruvanshi Aged About 60 Years R/o Qtr. No. 44, Khushi Enclave, Amlidih, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Rajbhan Singh S/o Shri Shivbadan Singh Aged About 61 Years R/o 10/1870, Balaji Nagar, Srinagar, Khamtarai, Raipur (C.G.)
4. George Lakda S/o Late Shri Piyush Lakda Aged About 62 Years R/o Ganesh Nagar, Near Sahu Flour Mill, Street No. 3, Raipura, District- Raipur (C.G.)
5. Ramdeen Dhruv S/o Shri Indru Ram Dhruv Aged About 62 Years R/o Boriyakhurd, Sundar Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.)

**---- Petitioners**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Ministry Of Finance, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Director Directorate Of Treasury, Accounts And Pension, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

**---- Respondents**

---

|                 |   |                                                               |
|-----------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioners | : | Mr. B.P. Sharma, Advocate along with Ms. Trisha Das, Advocate |
| For State       | : | Ms. Abhyunnati Singh, P.L.                                    |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**

**Order on Board**

**07/09/2021**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset makes a limited prayer that as of now the writ petition be disposed of directing the respondents authorities to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners in respect of creation of new posts, by which the

petitioners can have the further promotional avenues in their service career.

2. The representations of the petitioners have been collectively marked as Annexure P/3 & P/4 and which are pending before the respondent authorities for quite sometime.
3. The limited relief sought for is not opposed by the State counsel. However, the State counsel submits that any creation of posts or making availability of further promotional avenues are all a policy decisions, which have to be taken considering the economic viability of the same and also whether the said posts are required at this juncture are not ?
4. Given the limited relief that the petitioners have sought for and also taking note of the submission by the State counsel, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of directing the respondent authorities to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners on its own merits in accordance with rules, regulations and policies of the State Government.
5. Let an appropriate decision be taken in this regard preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.
6. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
**Judge**