

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPC No. 3363 of 2021**

1. P. Ravinarayan S/o Late P. Laxman Murthy Aged About 54 Years R/o 302, Zonal Market, Sector 10, Bhilai Nagar, District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
2. P.Geetanjali W/o Shri P. Ravinarayan Aged About 45 Years R/o 302, Zonal Market, Sector 10, Bhilai Nagar, District Durg Chhattisgarh.
3. P. Sarla Devi W/o Late P. Laxman Murthy Aged About 72 Years R/o 302, Zonal Market, Sector 10, Bhilai Nagar, District Durg Chhattisgarh.
4. D. Trinath S/o Shri D. Prakash Rao Aged About 58 Years R/o 4- A / 47, Maitri Nagar , Risali Nagar , Bhilai Nagar, District Durg Chhattisgarh. **--- Petitioner**

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department of Urban Administration and Development, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Municipal Corporation Bhilai through its Commissioner, H.Q. Supela , Bhilai, District Durg Chhattisgarh.
3. The Commissioner Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, HQ Supela, Bhilai, District Durg Chhattisgarh. **--- Respondents**

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Rajesh Kesharwani, Advocate.
For the State/R-1	:	Mr. Mrs. Astha Shukla, Panel Lawyer
For Respondents 2 & 3	:	Mr. Sandeep Dubey, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order on Board****26.08.2021**

1. It is contended by the petitioners that certain plots were allotted to the petitioners amongst others by the Municipal Corporation way back in the year 1999 and the petitioners are claiming allotment either to them or through their predecessors-in-title in respect of those plots. It is submitted that though the plots were allotted by the Municipal Corporation, certain complaint was filed which was referred to

Economic Offences Wing (EOW) and even after enquiry was conducted by the EOW, no illegality was found. Thereafter, till date, the allotment of land and execution of lease deeds in favour of the petitioners is awaited. Learned counsel submits that as and when the representation were made by the petitioners, it was replied that the issue is pending at the end of the State Government. He would submit that they are concerned with the Municipal Corporation, as such, the Municipal Corporation may be directed to decide the representations filed by them.

2. Counsel for respondents 2 & 3 the Municipal Corporation would submit that they have forwarded the issue to the State Government and it is the State Government to take the decision.
3. Without going into the merits of the case, since the petitioners claim that the representations made to the Commissioner Municipal Corporation may be decided on either way and as the documents would show that representations were made in the year 2018, the petitioners are given liberty to make a fresh detailed representation(s) to the Commissioner Municipal Corporation Bhilai within a period of 3 weeks and if such representations are filed, the same would be decided within a further period of 45 days at any rate. It is further made clear that this Court has not made any observation on merits over the entitlement of the petitioners to the allotment.
4. With the above observations, this writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
GOUTAM BHADURI
JUDGE