

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 4341 of 2021**

1. Shalini Pandey W/o Prashant Pandey, Aged About 29 Years R/o C-302, Shivam Residency, Shanti Nagar, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, School Education Department Atal Nagar Mantralay, Nava Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Managing Director, State Project Office, Samagra Shiksha, 2nd Floor, C.G. Madhyamik Shiksha Mandal, Pentionbada, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Collector Cum Project Director, Samagra Shiksha, District Koriya Chhattisgarh, District : Koriya (Baikunthpur), Chhattisgarh
4. Collector Cum Project Director, Samagra Shiksha, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh, District : Mungeli, Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri A. V. Shridhar,, Advocate
For State	:	Smt. Binu Sharma, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****18.08.2021**

1. Aggrieved by the order dated 15.07.2021 passed by the respondent No. 2, the present writ petition has been filed.
2. Vide the impugned order, the order of attachment earlier passed attaching the petitioner's services to the office of Block Development Resource Center at Lorami, Distt Mungeli has been cancelled. The said order was also acted upon immediately by the petitioner and in just

around a month's time, the impugned order now has been passed forcing the petitioner to go back and join at her substantive place of posting at the office of the Block Development Resource Center, Manendragarh, Distt- Koriya.

3. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that it was at the behest of an application made by the petitioner who was facing great inconveniences on the personal front while working at Manendragarh, Distt. Koriya, that she has approached the authorities for being posted somewhere nearby to Bilaspur when the order dated 05.06.2021 was passed. However, ignoring the personal inconveniences and ascertaining the reasons for which the order dated 05.06.2021 (Annexure P/3) was passed, the respondents have now issued an impugned order (Annexure P/1).
4. Learned counsel for the State on the other hand opposing the petition submits that since the order Annexure P/3 was an order of attachment, which otherwise was not permissible as the circular governing the field totally bans attachment of government employees from one place to another and realizing this, the authorities must have cancelled the order vide the impugned order dated 15.07.2021.
5. Be that as it may, considering the fact that the petitioner was earlier brought to Mungeli by an order of the respondents themselves vide order dated 05.06.2021, the present writ petition at this juncture can be disposed of permitting the petitioner to again approach the respondent-authorities, particularly the respondent No. 2 by way of a suitable representation supported with all relevant records ventilating her

grievance. The respondent No. 2 in-turn shall consider the same and take a decision at the earliest preferably within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of copy of the representation. The petitioner is expected to make a representation to the authorities concerned within a period of 10 days from today.

6. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**

J-