

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 4253 of 2021**

Smt. Mamta S. Rahul W/o Shri S. R. Rahul Aged About 42 Years R/o Dixit Colony, Nehru Nagar, Bhilai, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Secretary Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Director, Public Instructions Government Of Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. The Chief Executive Officer Jila Panchayat, Durg, Chhattisgarh.
5. The District Education Officer District Durg, Chhattisgarh.
6. The Block Education Officer Block Dhamdha, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. R.N. Pusty, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Amit Buxy, Panel Lawyer_

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

16/08/2021

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset makes a submission that the writ petition as of now may be disposed of directing the respondents to consider the representation which the petitioner has made seeking for rejoining her services on the post of Shiksha Karmi Grade-II.
2. The petitioner in the instant case was appointed as a Shiksha Karmi Grade-II in the year 2008. The petitioner in the year 2009 stood transferred from the Panchayat Department to the Education Department. On account of medical reasons, the petitioner took leave

from 09.11.2010 onwards. Thereafter, there does not seem to have any document to show that the petitioner has resumed her duties or tried to give her joining at any subsequent point of time. The petition reflects that she was issued with a show cause notice also in the year 2011 to which the petitioner thereafter gave a reply and then thereafter there is no further progress on the show cause proceedings or atleast is not available with the pleadings.

3. Given the limited relief that the petitioner seeks, without entering into the merits of the case and without expressing any opinion so far as the entitlement of the petitioner is concerned, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of directing the respondents No.2 to 6 as the case may be to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner on its own merits in accordance with law at the earliest preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. The petitioner in addition to any previous representation would also be entitled for making a fresh representation to the respondents in respect of her grievance.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge