

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Proceeding through video conferencing****WPIL No. 96 of 2021**

1. Shri Shankar Jagat S/o Shatrughan Jagat Aged About 40 Years R/o Near Sitla Mandir, Pandri Tarai, Raipur, Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Chief Secretary, Secretariat, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, P.S. And Post Rakhi, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Secretariat, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, P.S. And Post Rakhi, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Sub Divisional Officer (R) Raipur Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Sub Divisional Officer (R) Abhanpur, Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Tahsildar Abhanpur, Tahsil Abhanpur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
6. Sub Divisional Officer (R) Mahasamund Tahsil And District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh., District : Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	-	Shri Badruddin Khan, Advocate.
For Respondents/State-		Shri Satish Chandra Verma, Advocate General with Shri V.R. Tiwari, Additional Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Prashant Kumar Mishra Ag. Chief Justice**Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey****Order On Board****By****Hon'ble Shri Prashant Kumar Mishra Ag. Chief Justice**

12-8-2021

1. Heard.
2. In this petition in the nature of PIL, the petitioner has prayed for a direction to the respondent Revenue Authorities for deciding the application for mutation of name in the revenue record, expeditiously.
3. It is submitted that after purchase of the property or on account of any other eventuality, whenever application for mutation of name is moved on behalf of the effected persons, the same is kept pending on one pretext or the other.
4. We are afraid that a PIL of this nature cannot be entertained for issuing a general omnibus direction to Revenue Authorities who are required to decide matters depending upon the facts of each case.
5. A general direction cannot be issued to decide all pending mutation applications within a particular time period. Having said so, we hope that the Revenue Authorities shall proceed to decide pending mutation application in accordance with law and the same shall not be kept pending unnecessarily.
6. Accordingly, the present WPPIL is dismissed, subject however to the observations made above.

SD/-

(Prashant Kumar Mishra)
Acting Chief Justice

SD/-

(Rajani Dubey)
Judge

Amardeep