

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 4050 of 2021**

T.K. Sharma S/o Late Shri J.P. Sharma Aged About 60 Years Address -
Nb-17, CSEB Colony, Korba (East), District - Korba (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Energy, Mantralaya - Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District - Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Chairman Chhattisgarh Power Generation Company, Dangniya - Raipur, District - Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. General Manager (Hr) Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company, Dangniya - Raipur, District - Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Chief Engineer (Hr) Chhattisgarh Power Generation Company, Dangniya- Raipur, District- Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Chief Engineer (Generation) Chhattisgarh Power Generation Company, Dangniya- Raipur, District- Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
6. Board Of Director Chhattisgarh Power Generation Company, Dangniya - Raipur, District- Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Faisal Akhtar, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Ayaz Naved, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****06/08/2021**

1. Counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that considering the short tenure of service left of the petitioner for retirement, let the representation which the petitioner has made ventilating his grievance for grant of proper seniority to the petitioner from initial

date of promotion as an Assistant Engineer keeping in view the judgment rendered by the Madhya Pradesh High Court in a bunch of writ petitions, the leading of which being WPS 10339/2011 and other connected matters and for grant of consequential reliefs.

2. To the limited relief sought for, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of directing the respondent no.2 to 6 to take appropriate decision on the claim of the petitioner for grant of seniority on the post of Assistant Engineer and the consequential reliefs for further promotion in terms of the order passed by the Madhya Pradesh High Court in an identical set of bunch of writ petitions decided in respect of counter parts of petitioner working in the State of Madhya Pradesh under the M.P. Power Holding Company.
3. In addition, the petitioner is also permitted to make fresh representation supported with all relevant records or documents.
4. Respondent authority i.e. respondent no.2 to 6 are expected to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner at the earliest preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation of the petitioner.
5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit