

**NAFR**

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 3848 of 2021**

1. Aditya Singh S/o Shri Bhuwan Singh Aged About 32 Years Presently Working As Assistant Professor (Civil Engineering), Government Engineering College Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
2. Resham Kumar Dahariya S/o Shri Hari Ram Dahariya Aged About 40 Years Presently Working As Assistant Professor (Physics) Government Engineering College, Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
3. Navin Kumar Verma S/o Shri Sevak Ram Verma Aged About 31 Years Presently Working As Assistant Professor (Chemistry), Government Engineering College Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
4. Indrani Borkar W/o Shri Sonit Kumar Aged About 33 Years Presently Working As Assistant Professor (English) Government Engineering College, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
5. Tokeshwar Kumar Dewangan S/o Shri Ramkishan Dewangan Aged About 32 Years Presently Working As Assistant Professor (Physics) Government Engineering College, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioners**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Skill Development, Technical Education And Employment, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Cum Director Directorate Of Technical Education, Block 3, 3rd, 4th Floor, Indrawati Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Principal Government Engineering College, Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

---

|                |   |                                |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. Shashank Thakur, Advocate. |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------|

|           |   |                     |
|-----------|---|---------------------|
| For State | : | Ms. Binu Sharma, PL |
|-----------|---|---------------------|

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**  
**Order on Board**

**29/07/2021**

1. At the outset counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that as regards the non granting of the benefits of 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission to the petitioners, they have already approached the respondents by way of representations. According to the counsel for the petitioners, let appropriate direction be given to the respondents to consider and decide the petitioners representation in accordance with provisions governing the field so far as grant of salary and revision of pay is concerned.
2. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of directing the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioners on its own merits in accordance with law at the earliest preferably within 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
3. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
**Judge**

Rohit