

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3696 of 2021

1. Gajendra Bareth S/o Shri Chandra Bhanu Bareth Aged About 27 Years
Address Mukhy Basti, Pusalda, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
2. Manish Kumar Sinha S/o Tulja Ram Aged About 27 Years Address H. No.
427/k, Shivanagar, Tehsil Kanker District Uttar Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh
3. Virendra Kumar Gupta S/o Shri Jeevardhan Gupta Aged About 28 Years
Address Village And Post Pusalda, Tehsil Pusaur, District Raigarh
Chhattisgarh
4. Devprakash Verma S/o Shri Arjun Lal Verma Aged About 31 Years
Address H. No. 21/b, Sadak-2, Hind Nagar, Risali, Bhilai, District Durg
Chhattisgarh
5. Suresh Denjare S/o Pitambar Denjare Aged About 29 Years Address Ward
No. 5, School Para, Loharsingh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh
6. Jitendra Sahoo S/o Late Raghunath Sahu Aged About 28 Years Address
Village Post Kodatarai, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Principal Secretary, Department Of
School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa
Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Director Directorate Of Public Instruction, Department Of School
Education, Indrawati Bhawan, Block-C, 1st Floor, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur
District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Joint Director Directorate Of Public Instruction, Department Of School
Education, Indrawati Bhawan, Block-C, 1st Floor, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur
District Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. Chhattisgarh Professional Examination Board Through Its Secretary,
Shailendra Nagar, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
5. District Education Officer Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Shri Faisal Akhtar, Advocate.
For State	: Shri Amrito Das, Addl. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

19/07/2021

1. The petitioners herein are candidates who had applied for the post of Teacher (Physical Education). The petitioners having undergone the entire selection process arising out of the advertisement dated 09.03.2019 were found successful and meritorious and document verification and counseling of the petitioners have already been undergone. According to the petitioners, except for issuance of the formal order of appointment all other procedures have been complete, but the respondent authorities are not issuing the order of appointment which has led to the filing of the present writ petition.
2. At this juncture the counsel for the State referred to an order passed by this court in WPS No.3298 of 2021, decided on 06.07.2021 wherein in paragraph 5 this court has made the following observations:

"5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the developments that have transpired in between so far as issuance of appointment orders to the other post to which the recruitment process were conducted from the same advertisement, the present writ petition as of now stands disposed of directing the respondents to take an appropriate decision in respect of issuance of the formal order of appointment and posting orders to the petitioners in accordance with law at the earliest preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order."

3. According to State counsel, in the event if the State authorities comply with the aforesaid directions, perhaps the grievance of the petitioners herein also would get redressed.
4. Given the said submissions by the State counsel, the writ petitions at this juncture stand disposed of in similar terms to the directions given by this court in WPS No.3298 of 2021 quoted in preceding paragraph. Let appropriate decision be taken taking into consideration the claim of the petitioners herein as well within the period specified in the order passed in WPS No.3298 of 2021.
5. With the aforesaid observations, all the writ petitions stand disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge