

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3582 of 2021

Avinash Singh Parihar S/o. Late Shri J.S. Parihar Aged About 45 Years
Working As Assistant Grade-III, Chhattisgarh Revenue Board, Circuit
Court, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue
And Disaster Management, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur,
Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Secretary Chhattisgarh Revenue Board, Distt. Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Under Secretary, Chhattisgarh Revenue Board, Distt. Bilaspur
(Chhattisgarh)
4. Commissioner Land Record, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
5. Vijay Kumar Verma Working As Assistant Grade - III, Chhattisgarh
Revenue Board, Circuit Court, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
6. Prasad Rao Working As Assistant Grade - III, Chhattisgarh Revenue
Board, Circuit Court, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
7. Sheshmati Sondhiya Working As Assistant Grade - III, Chhattisgarh
Revenue Board, Circuit Court, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
8. Santosh Kumar Jagat Working As Assistant Grade - III, Chhattisgarh
Revenue Board, Circuit Court, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
9. Bhupendra Dubey Working As Assistant Grade - III, Chhattisgarh Revenue
Board, Circuit Court, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. J. K. Gupta, Advocate.
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

14/07/2021

1. The petitioner seems to be aggrieved of the gradation list that was published by the respondent department on 27.11.2018. The grievance of the petitioner is that juniors to the petitioner have been placed much higher than the position that petitioner has been granted in the final gradation list.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he has already raised his objections before the respondent authorities for rectification of the gradation list suitably. However, no decision has been taken.
3. At this juncture State counsel referred to Annexure P-2 which is a correspondence issued by the respondent no.2 to the petitioner calling upon his objections in respect of his grievance so far as seniority is concerned. According to the State counsel since the matter is pending consideration before the authorities to take a decision in accordance with law after due verification of the service records of the petitioner so far as deciding the claim of seniority is concerned.
4. Given the said submissions, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of directing the respondent no.1 to 4 to take appropriate steps in ensuring that the representation/objection of the petitioner, if any received in respect of the gradation list and seniority so provided to the petitioner, in accordance with the rules governing the field of seniority at the earliest preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit