

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Arbitration Request No. 12 of 2020**

1. ARSS- SIPS (JV) A Joint Venture, Having Its Registered Office At 129, Transport Center, Rohtak Road, Punjabi Baag, New Delhi, District : New Delhi, Delhi
2. M/s ARSS Infrastructure Projects Limited Having Its Registered Office At Plot No. 38, Sector A, Zone D, Mancheswar Industrial Estate, Bhubaneswar Odisha
3. Shyam Indus Power Solutions Pvt. Ltd, Having Its Registered Office At Building No. 16a, Najafgarh Road, Moti Nagar, Shivaji Marg, New Delhi- 110015

**---- Applicants****Versus**

1. South East Central Railway Through Its General Manager, Headquarter, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Administrative Officer (Construction) South East Central Railway, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Engineer (Construction-I) South East Central Railway, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

(Cause Title taken from Case Information System)

---

|                 |                                                            |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Applicants  | : Mr. Amrito Das, Advocate.                                |
| For Respondents | : Mr. H.S.Ahluwalia alongwith Mr. Rishabh Bisen, Advocate. |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Arup Kumar Goswami, Chief Justice****Order on Board****03/12/2021**

Heard Mr. Amrito Das, learned counsel for the applicants as well as Mr. H.S.Ahluwalia, learned counsel for the respondents.

2. A dispute having arisen in between the parties in connection with the notice inviting tender dated 29.12.2015 pertaining to construction of 4<sup>th</sup> line between Jharsuguda and Bilaspur, this application was filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short, Act of 1996) for appointment of Arbitrator.

3. Mr. Amrito Das submits that during pendency of the arbitration request, the respondents had appointed an Arbitral Tribunal to which the applicant had also agreed, and in that view of the matter, he has got instructions not to press this petition.

4. In view of the above submission of Mr. Das, this arbitration request is closed as not pressed.

5. Resultantly, all the pending IAs stand disposed of.

Sd/-  
(Arup Kumar Goswami)  
**CHIEF JUSTICE**