

NAFR

*(Proceedings through Video Conferencing)***HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH BILASPUR****WPS No.2676 of 2021**

Suryakant Sarkar S/o Late Shri Nilmadhav Sarkar, aged about 49 years, Occupation Panchayat Secretary of Village Panchayat Kurenar, Block Koyailibeda, Janpad Panchayat Koyailibeda, Tahsil Pakhanjoor, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Collector, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Uttar Bastar Kanker, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Koyailibeda, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Jitendra Nath Nande, Advocate
 For Respondent/State : Shri Ashish Tiwari, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge**Order on Board****31.05.2021**

1. Shri Jitendra Nath Nande, learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing for sometime, seeks permission of this Court for withdrawal of this writ petition with liberty to file a representation before the 3rd respondent raising all his

grievances. He submits that a direction may be issued to the 3rd respondent to consider and decide the representation to be submitted by the petitioner expeditiously.

2. Shri Ashish Tiwari, learned Government Advocate representing the State submits that impugned order has been passed by respondent No.3 and he is not having any objection if the petitioner makes a representation before the 3rd respondent.
3. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty. In the event, petitioner files a representation before the 3rd respondent, the 3rd respondent shall consider and decide the same within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of representation.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observation with regard to merits of the case and it is for the 3rd respondent to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner on its own merit.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Vacation Judge