

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2655 of 2021

1. Satish Kumar Gurla Son Of G. Papaiya Aged About 58 Years Presently Working As Deputy Ranger, Dantewada Forest Division, District Dantewada, Chhattisgarh. **---- Petitioner**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Chief Conservator Of Forest Aranya Bhawan, Sector-19, North Block, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Conservator Of Forest Jagdalpur, District Bastar, Chhattisgarh.
4. Divisional Forest Officer, Jagdalpur, District Bastar, Chhattisgarh.
5. Laxmi Prasad Shrivastava Deputy Ranger, Baloda Bazar, Forest Division, District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh **---- Respondents**

For Petitioner	: Shri C. J. K. Rao, Advocate.
For State	: Shri Amit Buxy, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

19/07/2021

1. Aggrieved by the order Annexure P/1 dated 12.05.2021, the present writ petition has been filed.
2. Vide the impugned order, place of posting of the petitioner has been shifted from the post of In-charge Ranger Dantewada Range to the post of In-charge Depot Officer at Depot Dantwada. The petitioner is aggrieved of the action in bringing the respondent No. 5 as an In-charge Ranger on the ground that the petitioner being senior to the respondent No. 5, the respondent No. 5 should not have been brought at the said place.

3. Counsel for the petitioner referred to the circular of the State Government dealing with granting of charge upon a Higher Post falling vacant.
4. Counsel for the petitioner submits that as of now he may be permitted to make a detailed representation to the respondents No. 2 & 3 and the respondents No. 2 & 3 in turn may consider the representation of the petitioner on its own merits and may also consider retaining the petitioner as an In-charge Ranger of the Dantewada Range.
5. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition at this juncture is disposed of directing the respondents No. 2 & 3 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner on its own merits in accordance with rules, regulations and circulars governing the field at the earliest.
6. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge