

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2830 of 2021

1. Paskal Lakda, S/o Late Kashmir Lakda, Aged About 44 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.), Government Middle School Padi Block Balrampur, District Balrampur - Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh., District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. District Education Officer, Balrampur - Ramanujganj, District Balrampur - Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh., District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
3. Block Education Officer, Balrampur, District Balrampur - Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh., District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Balrampur - Ramanujganj, District Balrampur - Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh., District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	: Shri C.J.K. Rao, Advocate.
For State	: Ms. Sunita Jain, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

08.07.2021

Heard.

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that he was appointed initially as Shiksha Karmi Grade-II on 07.07.2009, subsequently, his services were regularized on 10.07.2020, however, the State has issued an order dated 08.03.2017 whereby it was ordered that the teachers who are not DI.Ed., pass shall not get the benefit of increment in pay-scale.
2. It is stated that the said issue has been set at rest by this Court in the case of Jayant Kumar Patle Vs. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions, vide order dated

03.11.2017), wherein the requirement of passing of DI.Ed degree was diluted, therefore, the petitioner is also entitled to get the benefit in respect of the law laid down in the case of Jayant Kumar Patle (supra).

3. Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner's claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03.11.2017 passed in the case of Jayant Kumar Patle (supra).
4. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of, with a direction to the respondents for examination of petitioner's claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of Jayant Kumar Patle (supra) subject to verification of the facts and take appropriate decision thereon.
5. With the above observations, this writ petition stands finally disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge