

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 1978 of 2021

Sewa Sahakari Samiti Maryadit, Panchbahra Registration No. 669, Through The Society Manager Vijay Kumar Dhruw, Son Of Madho Singh, Aged About 40 Years, Resident Of Village Panchbahra, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Food, Civil Supplies And Consumer Protection, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mahtralaya, Atal Nagar, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Managing Director, Chhattisgarh State Marketing Federation Maryadit, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, Tahsil And District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
4. The District Marketing Officer, Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Federation Limited, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
5. The Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Bilaspur, Tahsil And District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Vikas A. Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Aditya Tiwari, Panel Lawyer
For Respondent No.2 & 4	:	Mr. Ramakant Pandey, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order on Board

06.04.2021

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that the petitioner is an agent who procures the paddy which in turn to be handed over to the respondent No. 2 i.e. Managing Director C.G. State Marketing Federation and agreement was executed by the respondent No.4 District Marketing Officer on behalf of the respondent No. 2. According to Clause 2.7 of the agreement which is filed as Annexure P-2, if the paddy stock exceeds the buffer limit then it is the duty of the State Marketing Federation to lift the same. Learned counsel submit that in respect of the paddy procurement of Panchbahra, the maximum capacity of the paddy would be 5226 quintals and the paddy has exceeded the buffer limit, therefore the respondent No. 2 i.e. Managing Director C.G. State Marketing Federation was duty bound to lift the same. Under these circumstances, the

petitioner has made a representation vide Annexure P-4 to the respondent No. 2, which may be directed to be decided.

2. Considering the fact that the agreement exists between the parties and the petitioner since has made a representation to the respondent authorities; therefore the representation filed as Annexure P-4 to the District Marketing Officer & Managing Director, C.G. State Marketing Federation are directed to be decided according to the terms of agreement within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
3. With the aforesaid observation/ direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)

JUDGE

Aks