

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 2210 of 2021**

Juvel Xaxa S/o Josef Xaxa, Aged About 58 Years, Presently Working
On The Post Headmaster At Middle School Lurgi, Block- Balrampur,
District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Directorate Of Public Education, Indrawati Bhawan, New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The District Education Officer, Balrampur, District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
4. Block Education Officer, Balrampur, District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
5. Muldhar Ram, Presently Working On The Post Of Lecturer (L.B.) Govt. High School Pasta, Block- Balrampur, District- Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. A. N. Pandey, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Aditya Bhardwaj, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****05.04.2021**

1. The grievance of petitioner in the present writ petition is the appointment of respondent no.5 as Circle In-charge of Circle Resource Center, Lurgi, Block Balrampur, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj.
2. According to petitioner, he is much senior to respondent no.5 and

therefore he should have been made Circle In-charge of Circle Resource Center, Lurgi on account of his seniority. According to petitioner, the appointment of respondent no.5, a person who is much junior to the petitioner, is contrary to the circular issued by the State Govt. on 04.08.2011 and 29.01.2020, both of which are still holding the filed.

3. Given the said nature of dispute, let the petitioner make an appropriate detailed representation to the respondents 2 to 4 within a period of 2 weeks from today and the respondents 2 to 4 in turn shall consider the representation of petitioner within a further period of 45 days from the date of receipt of representation of petitioner. While deciding the same, the respondents 2 to 4 are expected to firstly consider the seniority of the petitioner and also verify the two circulars dated 04.08.2011 and 29.01.2020 issued by the respondent State so far as granting of charge is concerned.
4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**