

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 1706 of 2021

Sewa Sahakari Samiti Maryadit Sonthi Registration No. 1092, Through The Society Manager- Ajay Tiwari, Son Of Late Shri Goverdhan Prasad Tiwari, Aged About 43 Years, Resident Of Village- Sonthi, Tahsil- Masturi, District- Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh),

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Food, Civil Supplies And Consumer Protection, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Mantralaya, New Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Managing Director Chhattisgarh State Marketing Federation Maryadit, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, Tahsil And District - Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Collector, District- Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The District Marketing Officer Chhattisgarh State Cooperative Federation Limited, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh),
5. The Deputy Registrar Cooperative Societies, Bilaspur, Tahsil And District- Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For petitioner	:	Mr. Vikash A. Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Shreya Mishra, Panel Lawyer
For Respondents No. 2 & 4	:	Mr. Ramakant Pandey, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order on Board

23/03/2021

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a agent who procures the paddy which in turn to be handed over to the respondent No. 2 i.e. Managing Director C.G. State Marketing Federation and agreement was executed by the respondent No. 4 District Marketing Officer on behalf of the respondent No. 2. According to Clause 2.7 of the agreement which is filed as Annexure P/2, if the paddy stock exceeds the buffer limit then it is the duty of the State Marketing Federation to lift the same. Learned counsel submits that in respect of the paddy procurement of Sonthi, the maximum capacity of the

paddy would be 7336 quintals and the paddy has exceeded the buffer limit, therefore the respondent No. 2 i.e. Managing Director C.G. State Marketing Federation was duty bound to lift the same. Under these circumstances, the petitioner has made a representation vide Annexure P/4 to the respondent No. 2 which may be directed to be decided.

2. Considering the fact that the agreement exists between the parties, and the petitioner since has made a representation to the respondent No. 2, therefore respondent No. 2 i.e. Managing Director C.G. State Marketing Federation is directed to decide the said application according to the terms of agreement within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

3. With the aforesaid observation/ direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

(Goutam Bhaduri)

JUDGE

gouri