

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 2034 of 2021**

1. Kumari Sushila Yadav S/o Shri Baishakhuram Yadav Aged About 44 Years Peshha- Dainik Majdur Govt. Pree Mai. Anusuchit Jati Kanya Ashram Akaltara R/o Village Akalatara Vikashkhand Jaijaipur District Janjgir- Champa Chhattisgarh.
 2. Smt. Alka Chandra W/o Shri Sanju Chandra Aged About 26 Years Peshha- Chaturth Varg Karmi/ Dainik Majdur Govt. Kanya Ashram Akaltara R/o Village Akaltara Vikash Khand Akaltara District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
 3. Smt. Jamuna Devi Nirjha W/o Shri Sanjay Kumar Aged About 36 Years Peshha- Chaturth Varg Karmi/ Dainik Majdur Govt. Pree. Mai. Anusuchit Jati Kanya Chhatravas Akalatara R/o Village Akaltara District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh
 4. Samrat Singh S/o Shri Mansharam Aged About 37 Years Peshha- Chaturth Varg Karmi/ Dainik Majdur Govt. Pree. Mai. Anusuchit Janjati Balak Chhatravas Birra R/o Village Birra District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
 5. Tejbali Patel S/o Shri Sahdev Patel Aged About 36 Years Peshha- Dainik Majdur Govt. Pree. Mai. Anu. Jati Balak Ashram Ghaura Bhatha R/o Village Ghaura Bhatha District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
 6. Kunti Sahu W/o Shri Netram Sahu Aged About 32 Years Peshha- Dainik Majdur Govt. Anusuchit Jati Kanya Ashram Chisada R/o Village Chisada District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
- Petitioner**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Secretary, Aadim Jati And Anusuchit Jati Vikash Vibhag Mantralaya Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh
 2. Ayukat Aadim Jati And Anusuchit Jati Vikash Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
 3. Sahayak Aayukat Aadivashi Vikash Janjgir Champa District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
 4. Collector District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh.
- Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Shri Abdul Wahab Khan, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Rahul Jha, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board**

09.06.2021

1. The Petitioners through the present Writ Petition seek for a direction to the Respondents to take a decision on the claim of the Petitioners for placing their services as contingency paid employees.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that present Petitioners are working with the Respondents since 2015 and 2018. The Petitioners meanwhile had moved an application before the Respondents for considering their claim for placing them as contingency paid employee taking into consideration the past services of the Petitioners rendered with the Respondents.
3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that the Petitioners have already made a detailed representation before the authorities concerned which is pending consideration and has till now not been decided by the Respondents Authorities. Therefore, a limited direction may be issued to Respondent No.3 to take an appropriate decision on the claim of the Petitioners to consider the matter for being placed as contingency paid employee.
4. Learned State Counsel has no objection if the Petition is disposed off with a direction to Respondent No.3 for considering the representation of the Petitioners.
5. Accordingly, the present Writ Petition stands disposed off with a direction to Respondent No.3 to consider the representation made by the Petitioners and take a decision on the claim of the Petitioners in accordance with the rules governing the field.
6. It is expected that Respondent No.3 shall take a decision on the claim of the Petitioners as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 90 days from today.
7. With the aforesaid observation, the present Writ Petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

**P. Sam Koshy
Judge**