

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 2049 of 2021**

Smt. Manjulata Mandley W/o Shri Hem Kumar Mandley, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Village Rajpur, Ward No. 49, Janpad Panchayat Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Collector, Baloda Bazar Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
5. Sukrita Dinkar, Ex. Rajgar Sahayak, Gram Panchayat Rajpur, Janpad Panchayat Bhatapara, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ravipal Maheshwari, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Akanksha Jain, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****09.06.2021**

1. The prayer of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for an appropriate direction to the respondents for appointment on the post of Gram Rojgar Sahayak in accordance with the waiting list that was

prepared as early as on 13.09.2018.

2. The claim of the petitioner from the waiting list is that one of the selected candidate has been terminated from the said post on 21.06.2019, the petitioner is entitled for appointment on the said post.
3. This Court is of the firm view that the claim of the petitioner would not be sustainable for more than one reason. Firstly, since the post already stood filled up by a selected candidate, the claim of the petitioner from the waiting list stands lapsed. Similarly, the claim of the petitioner also would not be sustainable for the reason that the waiting list was prepared on 13.09.2018 and the validity of the waiting list is normally for a period of one year unless it is otherwise extended. There is no document to show that the validity of the waiting list to have been extended. Under the circumstances this Court does not find any merit in the writ petition preferred by the petitioner.
4. The writ petition being devoid of merits deserves to be and is accordingly rejected.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**