

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 1523 of 2021**

Smt. Santoshini Mahanand W/o Shri Vishnu Mahanand, Aged About 35 Years, Occupation Assistant Teacher (Panchayat) Government Primary School Dulopali, Block Baramkela, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur, Police Station - Abhanpur District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Commissioner Cum Director, Directorate Of Panchayat, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, District – Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Baramkela, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

---

|                |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Ms. Deepali Pandey, Advocate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| For State      | : | Mr. Vivek Ranjan Tiwari, Addl. A.G., Ms. Hamida Siddiqui, Dy. A.G., Mr. Rahul Jha, G.A., Mr. Sudeep Verma, Dy. G.A., Ms. Akanksha Jain, Dy. G.A., Mr. Kapil Maini, P.L., Mr. Shreshta Gupta, P.L., Mr. Aditya Bhardwaj, P.L. & Mr. Shakti Singh Thakur, P.L. |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy****Order On Board****22.03.2021**

1. Heard.
2. The limited relief sought by the petitioner in this petition is for an appropriate direction to the respondents for releasing the arrears of pay which the petitioner is entitled for on account of revision of pay granted to the petitioner.

3. According to counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner was entitled for an amount of Rs.35,679/- as arrears of pay which till date has not been released. The petitioner has been approaching the authorities in the department, but till date no steps have been taken by the authorities concerned.
4. Given the said facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents No. 2 to 4 to take appropriate decision so far as the arrears of pay that the petitioner is entitled for on account of revision of pay, at the earliest, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
5. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise the respondents No.2 to 4 so far as the order passed by this court is concerned.
6. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

**Sd/-**

**P. Sam Koshy  
Judge**