

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 1689 of 2021

1. Tulsi Ram Markam S/o Shankar Lal Markam, Aged About 34 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Government Higher Secondary School, Kanga, Block Kondagaon, District Kondagaon Chhattisgarh, District : Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh
2. Dashrath Lal Netam S/o Punau Ram Netam, Aged About 40 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Government Middle School, Khandam, Block Kondagaon, District Kondagaon Chhattisgarh., District : Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Gangabati Korram, W/o Temal Ram Korram, Aged About 38 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Government Middle School, Badalur, Block Kondagaon, District Kondagaon Chhattisgarh., District : Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh
4. Sudan Ram Netam S/o Rangsu Ram Netam, Aged About 38 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Government Middle School, Hangawa, Block Kondagaon, District Kondagaon Chhattisgarh., District : Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh
5. Dinesh Chand Nag S/o Harichand Nag, Aged About 40 Years Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Government Middle School, Kanga, Block Kondagaon, District Kondagaon Chhattisgarh., District : Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioners**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh,
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Directorate Of Panchayat, Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Kondagaon, District Kondagaon Chhattisgarh.
4. Block Education Officer, Kondagaon, District Kondagaon Chhattisgarh

---**Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Shri Govind Dewangan, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Shreshta Gupta, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

23.03.2021

1. The limited grievance which the petitioners have raised in the present writ petition by virtue of the order passed by this Court in the case of Mukesh Kumar Patel Vs. State of Chhattisgarh, WPS No. 2530/2017 decided on 26.11.2017 is for grant of the benefit of

revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance which now remains is that the benefit is being provided to the petitioners prospectively. Though the respondents have made a calculation which the petitioner was entitled for from the date of **their** completion of 8 years of service but no effective orders have been passed nor have the department taken any step for releasing of the arrears of payment. The petitioners pray for appropriate direction to the respondents for releasing the said amount.
3. Without entering into the merits of the case and taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in the case of Mukesh Patel (Supra) which has also been affirmed by the Division Bench and taking note of the fact that respondents have complied with the order to the extent of granting revised pay scale to the petitioners, let respondent No.2 & 3 take an appropriate decision at the earliest so far as release of the arrears of payment which the petitioners are entitled for, *subject to verification of **their** entitlement for the same*. Expecting a decision from the respondents within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
4. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Jyotijha