

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.1890 of 2010**

Suresh Kumar Thakur, S/o. Late Shri Ram Swarup Singh Thakur, aged about 58 years, R/o. Maitri Nagar, Tehsil & District Raipur (CG)

-----Petitioner

Versus

- 1.State of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary, Department of Cooperation, D.K.S.Bhavan, Raipur (CG)
- 2.Chief Executive Officer, District Co-operative Central Bank Ltd. Raipur (CG)

----- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr.Amit Soni, Advocate
For Respondent No.1/State	:	Mr.Ravi Bhagat, Dy.G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order on Board

24.6.2021

- 1.Proceedings of this matter have been taken-up through video conferencing.
2. In first round of litigation in writ petition filed in the shape of WPS No.1126 of 2009 the petitioner claimed that he is entitled for promotion from the post of Branch Manager, Grade-II to Branch Manager, Grade I w.e.f 20.7.2004, but this Court by order dated 18.1.2010 directed the Bank to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion from the post of Branch Manager, Grade-II to Branch Manager, Grade-I and further directed that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of eight weeks from that day. Pursuant to which, respondent No.2-Bank considered the

case of the petitioner on 2.4.2010 and promoted him on the post of Branch Manager, Grade-I, which the petitioner accepted and joined the post and thereafter retired from service w.e.f. 31st August, 2010 and thereafter filed the instant writ petition stating inter-alia that he is entitled for promotion on the post of Branch Manager, Grade-I w.e.f. 20.7.2004.

3. No one has appeared on behalf of respondent No.2-Bank.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the documents appended with the writ petition.
5. It appears from the record that this Court on 18.1.2010 only directed the respondent-Bank to consider the case of the petitioner for promotional post of Branch Manager, Grade-I which the respondent-Bank considered and promoted him by order dated 2.4.2010, which he joined willingly and now this writ petition has been filed.
6. The petitioner has not claimed any such relief that he will be promoted on the post of Branch Manager, Grade-I with retrospective effect from 20.7.2004 by making representation before respondent No.2-Bank and directly filed this writ petition on 21.4.2010 within 15 days from the date of promotion on the post of Branch Manager, Grade-I and till this date, the petitioner has not made any representation for

redressal of his grievance and therefore, it would be appropriate to grant liberty to the petitioner to make a representation before respondent No.-2-Bank for redressal of his grievance that he was entitled for promotion on the post of Branch Manager, Grade-I from 20.7.2004.

7.If such a representation is made within 30 days from today before respondent No.2-Bank, respondent No.2-Bank within next 30 days will consider the case of the petitioner if he was entitled to be promoted from that day and pass a reasoned and speaking order within that period of time.

8.With the aforesaid observation / direction, the present writ petition finally stands disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K.Agrawal)
Judge

B/-