

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 1099 of 2021**

1. Dori Lal Patel S/o Shri Balmukund Patel Aged About 39 Years Working As Lecturer (L. B.) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Kantahardi, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
2. Jagmohan Goutam S/o Shri Ramgopal Gadam Aged About 40 Years Working As Lecturer (L. B.) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Kantahardi, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
3. Smt. Sharda Goutam W/o Shri Jagmohan Gadam Aged About 35 Years Working As Lecturer (L. B.) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Kantahardi, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
4. Shailendra Kumar Patel S/o Ramprasad Patel Aged About 44 Years Working As Lecturer (L. B.) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Kantahardi, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
5. Shivnarayan Sidar S/o Shri Mukutram Sidar Aged About 41 Years Working As Lecturer (L. B.) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Kantahardi, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
6. Shankar Lal Chouhan S/o Shri Chaitram Chouhan Aged About 41 Years Assistant Teacher (L. B.) Govt. High Middle School Kota Hardi, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Panchyat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director Panchyat Department, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.
4. Block Education Officer Block Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	: Mr. Vinod Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For State	: Mr. Rahul Jha Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board**

24/02/2021

1. The limited grievance which the petitioners have raised in this writ petition by virtue of order passed by this Court in the case of **Mukesh Kumar Patel Vs. State of Chhattisgarh, WPS No. 2530/2017** decided on **26.11.2017**, have been granted benefit of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of Service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance which now remains is that the benefit is being provided to the petitioners prospectively and though the respondents have made a calculation which the petitioners are entitled from the date of their completion of 8 years of service but no effective orders have been passed nor have department has taken any steps for release of the arrears of payment. The petitioners pray for appropriate direction to the respondents for releasing the said amount.

3. Without entering into the merits of the case and taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in the case of **Mukesh Patel (Supra)** which has also been affirmed by the Division Bench and taking note of the fact that respondents have complied with the order to the extent of granting revised pay scale to the petitioner, let respondents No. 3 & 4 to take an appropriate decision at the earliest so far as released of the arrears of payment which the petitioners are entitled for. Expecting a decision from the respondents within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge