

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 747 of 2021**

1. Smt. Lata Bhaina W/o Sahdev Bhaina Aged About 33 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (L.B.), At Govt. Navin Primary School, Kutra Bhatapara, Block Nawagarh, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Director Directorate Of Public Instruction, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. District Education Officer Janjgir Champa District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh
5. Block Education Officer Nawagarh District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh
6. Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Nawagarh, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Govind Dewangan, Advocate.
For State	:	Mr. Avinash Singh, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****19/02/2021**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the issue involved in the present writ petition has already been considered and decided by this Court in WP(S) No. 3088 of 2019, therefore, the same order may be passed in the present writ petition also.

2. This Court in WP(S) No. 3088 of 2019 on 07.02.2019 has passed the following order:-

1. The limited grievance of the petitioners is that they are entitled for the benefit of Kramonnati on completing 10 years of service which they have completed but they have not been given the same till date.
 2. Given the limited grievance raised, let both the petitioners make detailed representation in this regard to respondents who in turn shall scrutinize the same and pass a suitable order in accordance with the rules governing the field of Kramonnati. It is expected that an appropriate order shall be passed within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of representation of the petitioners.
 3. With the aforesaid observation, writ petition stands disposed of.
3. Learned State counsel has no objection.
4. It is ordered accordingly. The ratio laid down in the case supra shall also govern the cause of lis in this case and will have the same effect and operation.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge