

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 629 of 2021**

Netram Patel S/o Shri Bhagau Ram Patel Aged About 49 Years Working As Assistant Teacher, Janpad Primary School, Hardi (Amgaon), Block Masturi, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), R/o Village Bhatchoura, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Chief Executive Officer Jila Panchayat, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat, Masturi, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Block Education Officer Masturi, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. K.S. Pawar, Advocate along with Mr. Shashi Kumar Kushwaha, Adv.
For State	:	Mr. Amit Buxy, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

17/02/2021

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
2. By this Writ Petition the petitioner is claiming Kramonnati. According to the petitioner, he has already completed 10 years of service and was entitled for the benefit of kramonnati.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has also filed representations before the respondents authorities, but the same are not being considered.
4. At this juncture, learned counsel for the State would submit that if the petitioner submits a fresh representations along with copy of the

petition before the concerned competent authority, the same shall be considered and decided, in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above, the petition is disposed off. If the petitioner files a fresh representation before the concerned competent authority along with copy of the petition within a period of one month from today, the said authority is directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law and on its own merits, as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representations along with copy of this order.
6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the representations, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved