

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPCR No. 208 of 2017

Madhukar Dwivedi, S/o Shri Hari Sharan Dwivedi, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Village- Seoni, Tahsil- Marwahi, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

--- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Home, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station- Naya Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)
2. Secretary, Department of Panchayat & Rural Development Mahanadi, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)
3. Collector, Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. The Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. The Additional Superintendent of Police, Pendra Road, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
6. Station House Officer, Marwahi, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
7. Smt. Mukiya, the then Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Seoni, Tahsil Marwahi, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
8. Santosh Gupta, the then Secretary, Gram Panchayat Seoni, Tahsil Marwahi, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
9. R.K. Patel, the then Sub Engineer, Water Resources Department, Pendra Road, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
10. D. K. Singh, the then Sub Divisional Officer, Rural Engineering Department, Division Marwahi, Main Division, Pendra Road, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

--- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Malay Shrivastava, Advocate

For State : Mr. Rakesh Sahu, Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Narendra Kumar Vyas

Order on Board

28/07/2021

1. This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for registration of FIR against respondent No. 7 to 10 as per written complaint dated 11.05.2015 (Annexure P/1) made by the petitioner.
2. Learned State counsel would submit that as per memo dated 16.11.2017 (Annexure R/1), Police Station- Marwahi, District-

Bilaspur (C.G.) has conducted enquiry on the basis of complaint made by the petitioner and thereafter, it was found that no such loss has been caused to the Government, therefore, no criminal offence has been registered and now there is no grievance in this petition.

3. In view of the submission made by learned State counsel, this writ petition is disposed of.
4. However, liberty is granted in favour to the petitioner to challenge the memo dated 16.11.2017 (Annexure R/1) before appropriate forum.

**Sd/-
(Narendra Kumar Vyas)
Judge**