

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 472 of 2021**

Sanjay Kumar Soni S/o Late Shri P. R. Soni, Aged About 43 Years
District Co-Ordinator, SBM (G), District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh, R/o
Ramnagar, Ward No. 02, Kawardha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director Mission, Rajya Swachh Bharat Mission (Gramin), Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Collector And President, Zila Swachh Bharat Mission (Gramin), Pravandhan Samittee, Kabirdham, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer And Member Secretary Of Zila Swachh Bharat Mission (Gramin) Zila Panchayat Kabirdham, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Smt. Meena Shastri, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Wasim Miyan, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****10.02.2021**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the non-granting of the revision of pay to the petitioner in terms of the benefit which has been extended to similarly placed persons posted at State

level.

2. The petitioner in the instant case has been appointed as a District Coordinator under the respondent no.2. The appointment of the petitioner was initially made in the year 2013 and since then the services of the petitioner have been continued with periodical extension from time to time. The appointment of the district Coordinator has been made on three levels i.e. State level, District level & Janpad level. According to the petitioner, he has been posted at district level.
3. The grievance now of the petitioner is that the Coordinators posted at state level have already got the benefit of revised pay scale vide Annexure P-7 dated 28.11.2017 but such a revision of pay has not been implemented so far as the persons posted at district level as also at Janpad level are concerned.
4. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already made a representation in this regard to the higher authorities in the department which till date is pending consideration before the respondent no.4.
5. State counsel at this juncture submits that since the representation of the petitioner is pending, this writ petition can be disposed of directing the respondents 2 & 4 to take an appropriate decision on the claim of the petitioner in accordance with law.
6. Given the said facts, let the respondents 2 & 4 take an appropriate decision on the claim of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale as has been given vide Annexure P-7 dated 28.11.2017 to the persons posted at state level. Let the decision be taken within an outer limit of 120 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The petitioner would be at liberty to make a fresh representation to the respondents 2

& 4 supported with all relevant documents.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

**P. Sam Koshy
Judge**

Khatai