

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No.4265 of 2014**

- Smt. Shakuntala Kshatriya, W/o Rameshwar Singh Kshatriya, Aged About 45 Years, Working as Upper Division Teacher, Govt. Middle School Takhatpur, Tahsil Takhatpur, Distt Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

----- Petitioner**Versus**

- 1.State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Education Department, Mantralaya, P.S. Rakhi, Tahsil Aarang, Naya Raipur, Dist Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- 2.District Education Officer, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
- 3.Block Education Officer, Block Takhatpur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
- 4.Joint Director Accounts, Treasury and Pension, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

----- Respondents**WPS No.4262 of 2014**

- Santosh Kumar Dubey, S/o Bahoran Prasad Dubey, Aged About 41 Years, Working as Head Master Primary School, Khajura, Navagaon, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

----- Petitioner**Versus**

- 1.State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Education Department, Mantralaya, P.S. Rakhi, Tahsil Aarang, Naya Raipur, Dist Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- 2.District Education Officer, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3. Block Education Officer, Block Takhatpur,
District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

4. Joint Director Accounts, Treasury and Pension,
Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

----- Respondents

WPS No.6384 of 2014

- Smt. Sushila Thakur, W/o Dharam Singh Syam,
Aged About 57 Years, Working as Head Master,
Govt. Primary School Uslapur Shanti Nagar
Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

----- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary,
Education Department, Mantralaya, P.S. Rakhi,
Tahsil Aarang, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2. District Education Officer, District Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh

3. Joint Director Accounts, Treasury and Pension,
Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

----- Respondents

For Petitioners	Mr. J. K. Saxena, Advocate
-----------------	----------------------------

For Respondent-State	Mr. Sunil Otwani, Addl. AG and Mr. Soumya Rai, PL
----------------------	--

Hon'ble Justice Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

09/07/2021

1. The petitioners' claim for grant of two
advance increments in this batch of writ

petitions has been rejected on the ground that they have passed the D.Ed. Examination after 16.06.1993, therefore, they are not entitled for two advance increments.

2. At the outset, Mr. Saxena, learned counsel for the petitioners, would submit that in view of the circular dated 04.07.2017 issued by the State Government, the petitioners are entitled for two advance increments, whereas Mr. Otwani, learned Addl. Advocate General for the State, would submit that according to the order passed by the Division Bench on 04.04.2014 in **Writ Appeal No.105/2014**, in between **State of Chhattisgarh and others vs Dharam Pal Tiwari** and other connected matters, the petitioners are not entitled for two advance increments.

3. Be that as it may, the District Education Officer, Bilaspur is directed to reconsider the case of the petitioners in light of the circular dated 04.07.2017 and also keeping in view the order passed by the Division Bench in **Writ Appeal No.105/2014** and to pass a reasoned and speaking order without being prejudiced by

the order (Annexure-P/1) within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. With the aforesaid direction, all the writ petitions stand disposed of. No order as to cost (s).

Sd/-
Sanjay K. Agrawal
Judge

Nirala