

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No.5338 of 2014

- Smt. Mogra Gupta, W/o Late Sharad Chand Gupta, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Near Women and Child Welfare Office, Om Nagar, Jarahbhatta, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

----- Petitioner

Versus

- 1.State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Water Resources Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur Civil And Revenue Distt. Raipur C.G., Chhattisgarh
- 2.The Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Mahanadi Pariyojana Raipur Po And Ps And Distt. Raipur C.G. , District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- 3.Naval Kishore Kashyap, Asst. Grade-III, Office Of Sub Divisional Officer (E/M) Water Resources Department, Revenue Board Campus Bilaspur C.G. , District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
- 4.Ajay Kumar Sharma, Asst. Gr. III, Officer Of SE, E/M Circle, Raipur Water Resource Department Raipur, Chhattisgarh
- 5.T.L. Verma, Asst. Grade III, Office Of SE, Indravati Project Jagdalpur Bastar, Chhattisgarh

----- Respondents

For Petitioner	Mr. K. R. Nair, Advocate
For Respondent-State	Mr. Avinash Singh, PL

Hon'ble Justice Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

06/10/2021

1. Mr. Nair, learned counsel for the petitioner, would submit that the petitioner is working on the post of Assistant Grade-III w.e.f. 23.07.1990 and she is entitled to be promoted to the next higher promotional post of AG-II, but vide Annexure-P/1 dated 01.04.2014, seniority list of AG-III has been published, wherein the petitioner's seniority has been shown w.e.f. 28.07.1996, instead of 23.07.1990. The petitioner has also made representation in this regard, but the same has not been considered and decided till date. Therefore, the respondent authorities may be directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioner.
2. Mr. Singh, learned State counsel, would submit that the petitioner's representation shall be considered and decided expeditiously.
3. Be that as it may, the petitioner is at liberty to make a fresh representation to the respondent authorities within 4 weeks from today. On such representation being made, the respondent authorities are directed to consider and decide the petitioner's

representation in accordance with law by a
reasoned and speaking order within next 6
weeks.

4. With the aforesaid observation and direction,
the writ petition stands disposed of. No order
as to cost (s).

Sd/-
Sanjay K. Agrawal
Judge

Nirala