

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.1977 of 2012**

Keshav Kumar Kurre, Age 40 years, S/o Shankar Lal Kurre,
Gram and Post Marood, Thana Kurud, Distt.Dhamtari,
Chhattisgarh

----- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Geology and Mining, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Geology and Mining, Directorate of Geology and Mining, Sonakhan Bhawan, Ring Road No.1, Gram Purena, Post Ravigram, Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Mining Officer, Office of Collector, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh

----- Respondents

Writ Petition (S) No.1979 of 2012

Prahlad Markandey, Age 40 years, S/o Phulchand, Gram Nawagau, Post Kandel, Thana Arjuni, Distt. Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh

----- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Geology and Mining, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Geology and Mining, Directorate of Geology and Mining, Sonakhan Bhawan, Ring Road No.1, Gram Purena, Post Ravigram, Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Mining Officer, Office of Collector, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh

----- Respondents

And**Writ Petition (S) No.1981 of 2012**

Santosh Kumar Jangade, Age 40 years, S/o Bhenuram Jangade,
Gram Bhendra, Thana Bhakhara, Tehsil Kurud,
Distt.Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh

----- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Geology and Mining, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Geology and Mining, Directorate of Geology and Mining, Sonakhan Bhawan, Ring Road No.1, Gram Purena, Post Ravigram, Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Mining Officer, Office of Collector, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh

----- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	None present
For Respondents/State	:	Mr.Animesh Tiwari, Dy.A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

13/9/2021

1. Mr.Animesh Tiwari, learned Deputy Advocate General for the respondents/State, would submit that these writ petitions have become infructuous as post of Watchman has been filled-up by Home Guards in Department of Directorate of Geology and Mining.
2. Even otherwise, when the passed over case is called for hearing second time, none present for the petitioners. No representation is made.
3. In view of above, this Court has left with no other option except to dismiss these writ petitions for want of prosecution.
4. Accordingly, the writ petitions are dismissed for want of prosecution. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K.Agrawal)
Judge

B/-