

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 257 of 2021**

Ramdev Nag S/o Late Shri Sudiya Nag Aged About 55 Years Occupation  
- Attendant, Artificial Insemination Center, Kumhrawand Under The Office  
Of Joint Director, Veterinary Services, District Office Jagdalpur District  
Bastar Chhattisgarh Residence Of Village Garawandkhurd Post  
Babusemra, Tahsil Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Veterinary Department  
Mantralaya At Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur Chhattisgarh  
492002
2. Director Veterinary Services, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar Nava Raipur  
Chhattisgarh 492002
3. The Joint Director Veterinary Services, Jagdalpur, District Bastar  
Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**


---

|                |   |                             |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Ms. Varsha Sharma, Advocate |
| For State      | : | Mr. Rahul Jha, GA           |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy****Order on Board****03/02/2021**

1. The limited grievance that the petitioner has in the present writ  
petition is the non granting of regular pay scale on completion of 3  
years of service at collector rate fixed by the authorities.
2. According to the petitioner he was initially appointed on 29.01.1990  
as Chowkidar vide Annexure P-1 wherein it was specifically held  
that engagement of the petitioner was at the rate fixed by the

District Collector. Subsequently, Annexure P-2 is a document which shows that petitioner has been given benefit of regular pay scale w.e.f. 31.08.1998 with a reference that same is awarded on account of petitioner having continuously worked for a period of 3 years at the collector rate. Claim of the petitioner is that the said regular pay scale ought to have been paid to the petitioner on completion of 3 years of service i.e. from the year 1993 onwards which in the instant case has been given to the petitioner only w.e.f. 1998.

3. Given the said factual matrix of the case and also taking note of the fact that claim of the petitioner is still pending consideration before respondent no.2 & 3, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of directing the respondent no.2 & 3 to take a decision in accordance with law at the earliest preferably within a period of 90 days.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case, the entitlement of the petitioner shall be purely on the basis of the rules and policies governing the field.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
(P. Sam Koshy)  
**Judge**

Rohit