

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 264 of 2021**

Jaychand Sonwani S/o Kekchand Sonwani Aged About 40 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Government High School, Urla, Nagar Palik Nigam Birgaon, Block Dharsiwa, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Director, Directorate Of Urban Administration And Development, Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Commissioner, Nagar Palik Nigam Birgaon, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
5. District Education Officer, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
6. Block Education Officer, Dharsiwa, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Govind Dewangan, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Aditya Bhardwaj, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****03/02/2021**

1. The limited grievance which the petitioner has raised in these present writ petition by virtue of order passed by this Court in the case of Mukesh Kumar Patel Vs. State of Chhattisgarh, WPS No. 2530/2017 decided on 26.11.2017 is for grant of the benefit of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service.
2. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance which now remains is that the benefit is being provided to the petitioner prospectively. Though the respondents have made a calculation

which the petitioner was entitled for from the date of his completion of 8 years of service but no effective orders have been passed nor have the Department taken any step for releasing the arrears of payment. The petitioner prays for appropriate direction to the respondents for releasing the said amount.

3. Without entering into the merits of the case and taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in the case of Mukesh Patel (Supra) which has also been affirmed by the Division Bench and taking note of the fact that respondents have complied with the order to the extent of granting revised pay scale to the petitioner, let respondents No. 2 to 4 take an appropriate decision at the earliest so far as release of the arrears of payment which the petitioner is entitled for. Expecting a decision from the respondents within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge