

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 269 of 2021

1. Shesh Mandavi S/o Faguram Mandavi Aged About 35 Years R/o 504-J, Ward No. 1, Geedam, District Dantewada Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Co-Operative, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Registrar Chhattisgarh Co-Operative Societies, Indravati Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Collector Dantewada, District Dantewada Chhattisgarh
4. Assistant Registrar Co-Operative Societies, District Dantewada Chhattisgarh
5. Manager Adim Jati Sewa Sahakari Samiti Maryadit, Geedam Lempus Geedam, P. O. Geedam, District Dantewada Chhattisgarh
6. Authorized Officer Adim Jati Krisak Sewa Sahakari Samiti Maryadit, Geedam, P. O. Geedam, District Dantewada Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Shrawan Agrawal, Advocate.
For State	:	Ms. Akanksha Jain, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

04.02.2021.

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the impugned order of termination dated 25.11.2019.
2. Perusal of records would show that the petitioner was an employee under the Co-operative Societies i.e. the respondents No.5&6 upon which the provisions of Co-operative Societies Act are applicable. The said Act has a specific provision in respect of dealing with service disputes of the employees of the Co-operative Societies.
3. For the aforesaid reason of there being an alternative efficacious statutory remedy available to the petitioner, the writ court at this juncture would not like to interfere with the merits of the case. Accordingly, reserving the right

of the petitioner to approach the concerned authorities under the Co-operative Societies Act the writ petition stands disposed of. The petitioner would be at liberty to apprise the authorities by moving an appropriate application explaining the delay in raising the dispute, which the authorities shall consider in a pragmatic manner on its own merits.

4. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder