

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 158 of 2021**

Savitri Soni D/o Shri G. R. Soni, Aged About 38 Years, Stenographer (Hindi), Posted At Government Industrial Training Institute (I.T.I.), Dondi Lohara, District Balod (Chhattisgarh) R/o Nandini Nagar, Durg, District Durg (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Skill Development, Technical Education And Employment Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director, Employment And Training, Indrawati Bhawan, Block-04, 1st Floor, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
Directorate Of Public Education, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Joint Director, Industrial Training Institutes, Regional Office, Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Superintendent, Govt. Industrial Training Institute, Dondi Lohara, District Balod (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Smt. Meena Shastri , Advocate
For State	:	Shri Rahul Jha, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****02.02.2021**

1. The limited prayer that the petitioner makes in the present writ petition is that considering the length of service that the petitioner has served

as a Guest Lecturer/Training Officer under the respondent no.4, the petitioner be considered for regularization.

2. According to the petitioner, she was initially appointed in the year 2007 as a Guest Lecturer/Training Officer and since then she has been continuously working on the said post uninterruptedly and by now she has been put in 13 years of service. Contention of the counsel for the petitioner is that the post against which the petitioner is working is lying vacant at the institute and therefore the respondents should consider regularizing the services of the petitioner taking into account the experience that she has.
3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of directing the respondents 1 to 3 to take an appropriate decision on the claim of the petitioner for regularization in service under the respondents. Let a decision in this regard be taken at the earliest preferably within an outer limit of 4 months from the date of receipt of this order.
4. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise the respondents in respect of the order passed by this Court. In addition, the petitioner would be at liberty to make a fresh representation in respect of her claim.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge