

HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
(ORIGINAL SIDE)

ITAT/35/2018
IA NO:GA/1/2018 (OLD NO: GA/500/2018)
GA/2/2018 (OLD NO:GA/502/2018)
(Through Video Conferencing)

PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX - 4, KOLKATA
....Appellant(s)

Through:Mr. Smarajit Roychowdhury,
Advocate
Mr. Sanjay Kumar, CIT (Judicial)

v/s

M/s. VIDULA CHEMICALS & MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES
LIMITED.
....Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. J.P. Khaitan, Senior Advocate
(VC), Mr. Pratyush Jhunjunwala,
Mr. P.K. Jhunjunwala and Mr. S.
Rudra, Advocates.

Coram : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL, JUDGE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA ROY, JUDGE

O R D E R

1. The revenue has filed the present appeal against the order dated July 5, 2017 passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal "A" Bench, Kolkata in I.T.A. No. 2108/Kol/2014 for the assessment year 2009-10.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant pointed out that in paragraph 10 of the stay application it has been mentioned that the tax effect in the present appeal is ₹70,24,600/-. The same being below the minimum limit prescribed for filing or pursuing of appeals in the High Court vide Circular No.17/2019

F.No.279/Misc.142/2007-ITJ(Pt.) issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, Central Board of Direct Taxes, Judicial Section dated August 8, 2019, the appellant may be permitted to withdraw the present appeal.

3. In view of the facts as stated above, the present appeal is dismissed as withdrawn, however, keeping open the questions of law raised therein.

KOLKATA
26.03.2021
akg/skumar

(ANIRUDDHA ROY)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE