

22.12.2021  
Court No.01  
Item No. 22

sg

**CIRCUIT BENCH OF CALCUTTA HIGH COURT  
AT JALPAIGURI**

**CRM 942 of 2021  
(Via Video Conference)**

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Dinhata Police Station Case No. 115 of 2021 dated 14.03.2021 under Sections 448/323/326/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re: **Anchhar Ali**

Petitioner

Mr. Sudip Guha

For the Petitioner

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee  
Mr. Aniruddha Biswas

For the State

The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and is in no way involved in the commission of alleged offence.

The learned Counsel for the State, however, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and draws our attention to the statement of the independent witnesses recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as the injury report.

Having considered the materials on record and the nature and involvement of the petitioner in the commission of alleged offence and also taking into consideration the injury report which suggests that the injury is severe in nature, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected. CRM 942 of 2021 is accordingly, disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

**(Biswajit Basu, J.)**

**(Soumen Sen, J.)**