

14.06.2021

Court No. 30
Item No. 25
nandy/seth

**CIRCUIT BENCH OF CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
AT
JALPAIGURI**

(Via Video Conference)

(bail rejected)

CRM 614 of 2021

In Re: An application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 24.05.2021 in connection with Sitalkuchi Police Station Case No. 167 of 2020 dated 01.11.2020 under Sections 363/365 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

and

In the matter of: **Saddam Mia**

..... Petitioner

Mr. Hillol Saha Poddar, Advocate

.....for the Petitioner

Mr. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty, Learned A.P.P.

Mr. Aniruddha Biswas, Advocate

..... for the State

Petitioner seeks bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated. The de facto complainant is an adult.

The learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that the victim girl is a minor and in her statement under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, she stated that the petitioner along with another person took the victim girl to Delhi where they committed rape on her. He also submits that the petitioner along with other accused obtained inappropriate photographs of the victim girl.

In view of the nature of allegation as against the petitioner, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

As such, the prayer for bail is **rejected**.

The application being **CRM 614 of 2021** accordingly **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)