

**Calcutta High Court
In The Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction**

**CRM 291 of 2021
(Via Video Conference)**

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Ghoksadanga Police Station Case No.13 of 2020 dated 19.01.2020 under Section 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

And

In the matter of: Ayub Ali Mia @ Ayub Ali and another

.... Petitioners

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder,
Ms. Arpita Saha

... For the Petitioners

Mr. Kollol Acharjee,
Ms. Namrata Das.

... For the State

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and there is no material collected against them.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Having regard to the materials against the petitioners, we are of the opinion that the petitioners should be given benefit of anticipatory bail. The prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed subject to the conditions that in the event of arrest the petitioners will be released on bail subject to furnishing bond of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the arresting officer.

Petitioners will comply with the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM 291 of 2021, is allowed.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)

(Kausik Chanda, J.)