

16.03.2021.

10.
mb

Calcutta High Court
In the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
CRM 105 of 2021

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 18.12.2020 in connection with Mathabanga Police Station Case No. 408 of 2020 dated 02.10.2020 under Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO Act) read with Section 376(AB) of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : Suresh Barman... Petitioner

Mr. Swarup Das ...for the Petitioner

Ms. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty,
Mr. Sagnik Shankar Sidkar ..for the State

Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case as there has been a long standing boundary dispute between the petitioner and the de facto complainant.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

In view of the age gap between the petitioner and the victim girl and also considering the age of the petitioner, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)

(Kausik Chanda, J.)

