

6  
akb  
18.02  
2021  
Ct. No. 2

**Calcutta High Court  
In the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri  
Appellate Jurisdiction**

**W.P.A. 9 of 2021  
Sri Nepal Chandra Curie  
-Versus-  
The Senior Manager & Ors.**

**Mr. Sunil Kumar Sarkar  
Ms. Smita Sinha Mitra                      ...For the Petitioner**

**Mr. Sudipta Kanti Bhowmik  
Ms. Dipti Bhowmik                      ...For Respondent No. 3**

This is an application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India wherein the writ petitioner is aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the respondent authorities in relation to considering the representation of the petitioner dated December 17, 2020 with regard to a new electricity service connection.

I have heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the materials placed on record.

After having heard the learned Counsel for the parties, I direct the Zonal Manager, Regional Office, WBSEDCL-03, 2<sup>nd</sup> Mile Sevoke Road, Siliguri, being the respondent No. 2, to consider the representation dated December 17, 2020 made by the petitioner upon granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, and thereafter, pass necessary reasoned order within a period of four weeks from the date of communication of this order.

The reasoned order should be communicated to petitioner within a week from the date of passing such reasoned order

With the aforesaid observation the writ

petition is disposed of.

Since, no affidavit-in-opposition has been called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have not been admitted by the respondents.

There will be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties, on priority basis.

**( *Shekhar B. Saraf, J.* )**