

11/02/2021
Item No.100
Court No.38.
AB

Through Video Conference

W.P.A. 11886 of 2020

Supriyo Ganguly

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others

Mr. Debasish Banerjee,
Ms. Jayasri Bhattacharya ...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Soumitra Bandyopadhyay,
Mr. Aniruddha Sen ...for the State.

Affidavit of service filed in Court today be kept
with the records.

The petitioner says that a plot of land was
allotted to the petitioner by the Asansol Durgapur
Development Authority (in short "ADDA") in the year
2011. However, no follow-up action was taken by
ADDA and no lease deed was executed in favour of the
petitioner. Initially, the petitioner was told that
because one of the allottees, whose allotment has been
cancelled, had approached Court and the matter was
subjudice, nothing further was done in pursuance of
the allotment. However, the said case appears to have
been disposed of and this Court has directed ADDA to
restore the allotment of that allottee.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is in the dark as to what has happened
to the allotment that was made in his favour.

Representations have been made to the Authority, but in vain. One such representation is dated June 15, 2020.

In spite of service, nobody appears for ADDA. However, the order that I propose to pass will not prejudice the Authority (ADDA) in any manner.

I direct the Chief Executive Officer, ADDA, being the 2nd respondent herein, to pass a reasoned order on the representation of the petitioner dated June 15, 2020 (Annexure P-4 to the writ petition), in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order along with a copy of the writ petition, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner or his authorized representative. The order so passed will be communicated to the petitioner within a week from the date of the order.

I have not gone into the merits of the claim of the petitioner. The 2nd respondent shall dispose of the petitioner's representation in accordance with law, as aforesaid.

Since I have not called for any affidavit, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to be admitted by the respondents.

WPA 11886 of 2020 is, accordingly, disposed of.

Urgent Photostat Certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied expeditiously after complying with all necessary legal formalities.

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)

