

AD. 18.
April 23, 2021.
MNS.

W. P. A. 11672 of 2020
(**Via video conference**)

Rupali Dey
Vs.
Union of India and others

Ms. Deblina Lahiri,
Ms. Samapti Roy,
Mr. Mrinmoy Chatterjee

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjoy Ghosh

...for the Union of India.

Re: **CAN 1 of 2021 (recalling).**

When the matter is called on for hearing,
none appears in support of the application for
recalling.

Learned counsel appearing for the writ
petitioner/opposite party in the application
submits that the order sought to be recalled was
passed in the presence of the applicant and, as
such, there is little scope for interference at this
juncture.

Learned counsel appearing for the Union
of India submits that the Union of India has taken
all steps from its end to ensure due compliance of
the order under recall.

Learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner candidly submits that although such steps have been taken, the amount directed is yet to be disbursed to the petitioner in view of some procedural hitches at the bank's end.

Be that as it may, since none appears for the applicant, the matter cannot be taken up for hearing on merits.

To avoid further delay, the opposite parties to the recall application are directed to file their affidavits-in-opposition, if any, within three weeks from date. Reply, if any, shall be filed within one week thereafter.

The learned advocate-on-record for the applicant shall serve a written intimation of the next returnable date to the absentee-opposite parties and/or their advocate.

The matter shall next be enlisted on June 11, 2021 under the same heading.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)

