

16 & 17
22.02.2021.
mb

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

W.P.A. No. 11441 of 2020

Fatema Beowa

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

with

W.P.A. No. 11449 of 2020

Sahidon Beowa alias

Sahidan Raoa

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

(Via Video Conference)

Mr. Gobinda Chandra Baidya,

Mr. Gobinda Baidya

...for the petitioner in
both the matters

Mr. Sirsanya Bandopadhyay,

Mr. Arka Kumar Nag

...for the State-respondents
in both the matters

Affidavits of service in both the writ petitions,
filed today, be kept on record.

The grievance of the petitioners in both the
matters is that, despite the petitioner being entitled to
the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana Scheme, the amount
under such Scheme was disbursed in favour of
respondent no. 7. In spite of having taken up the
matter with the authorities, it is alleged, nothing has
been done in that regard.

Learned counsel appearing for the State-
respondents, however, submits that, in view of the
names of the petitioner and the respondent no. 7 being
identical, there might have been some discrepancies in

the disbursement. It is assured by learned counsel, on instruction, that the State-respondents shall take immediate step for rectification of such error and start the process of disbursement of such amount in favour of the petitioner at the earliest.

Accordingly, W.P.A. No. 11441 of 2020 and W.P.A. No. 11449 of 2020 are disposed of by directing respondent no. 4 to rectify the error in disbursing the amount payable to the petitioner in favour of the respondent no. 7 and to disburse such amount in favour of the petitioner. Such exercise shall be completed within four weeks from the date.

There will be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be made available to the parties upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)