

19.01.2021.
Item no. 44.
Court No.13
ap

**W.P.A. No. 11300 of 2020
(Through Video Conference)**

**Bholanath Shaw
Versus
The Registrar of Appellate Side, High Court,
Calcutta & Ors.**

Mr. Mohinoor Rahaman,
Ms. Maria Rahaman.

...For the petitioner.

Mr. Ranajit Chatterjee.

...For the Calcutta High Court.

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today be taken
on record.

The first two pages of the list of successful
candidate dated 5th March, 2017 along with the
recruitment notice dated 16th January, 2014 are kept
with the record.

The petitioner participated in the recruitment
process for Group “D” post advertised by the High
Court on 16th January, 2014. About 70 vacancies in
reserved as well as unreserved posts were to be filled
up. The petitioner participated in the examination as
well as the re-examination process. He admittedly has
secured 49 marks.

Counsel for the High Court Administration
submits a list of 110 candidates serially, the last of
which obtained 89 marks.

Even assuming it is accepted for the sake of
argument that the petitioner may have secured more

than 49 marks, he comes nowhere near the 70th candidate, who has secured 90 marks. The candidate who secured the first position has obtained 98 marks.

The petitioner's claims are, thus, illusory and speculative.

For the reasons stated hereinabove, no order can be passed in this writ petition. The instant writ petition shall stand dismissed.

There will be no order as to costs.

All parties are directed to act on a server copy of this order on usual undertakings.

(*Rajasekhar Mantha, J.*)