

09.03.2021  
Ct. No.9  
S/L No.1&2  
KS

**R.V.W. 107 of 2020**  
**With**  
**IA No. CAN 1 of 2021**  
**+**  
**IA No. CAN 2 of 2021**

**Suka Jagannath Rao**  
**-Vs.-**  
**Smt. Rina Dey**  
**With**  
**S.A. 135 of 2017 (Not Found)**  
**With**  
**IA No. CAN 3 of 2015 (Old No. CAN 7699 of 2015)[Not Found]**  
**+**  
**IA No. CAN 6 of 2017 (Old No. CAN 2545 of 2017)[Not Found]**

**(Via Video Conference)**

Mr. Haradhan Banerjee  
Mr. Amitava Pain  
Mr. Subhrangshu Dutta  
.....For the Appellant (S.A. 135 of 2017)  
Mr. Haradhan Banerjee  
Mr. Amitava Pain  
Mr. Subhrangshu Dutta  
.....For the O.P. (R.V.W. 107 of 2020)  
Ms. Baisali Ghoshal  
.....For the Appellant (R.V.W. 107 of 2020)  
Ms. Baisali Ghoshal  
.....For the Respondent (S.A. 135 of 2017)

Ms. Baisali Ghoshal, learned advocate appearing for  
the review petitioner files written instruction from his client,  
Suka Jagannath Rao and submits that the review petitioner is

not willing to proceed with the matter. The written instruction be kept on record.

Having heard learned advocates for both the parties, it would appear from the report of Additional Stamp Reporters dated 08.01.2021, regarding the sufficiency of the C.F.S. would be furnished on receipt of the certified copy of the judgment.

Since, the review petitioner is not pressing the review application and submits for withdrawal of the case, the review application being, R.V.W. 107 of 2020 and all other connected applications being, IA No. CAN 1 of 2021 and IA No. CAN 2 of 2021 are allowed to be withdrawn and treated as dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(Shivakant Prasad, J.)**