

05-03-2021
Item no.49
Subrata

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA No.9336 of 2020
Tapan Kumar Adhikary
-vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Mukteswar Maity
Mr. Prabir Rej ...for the petitioner

Mr. B.P. Vaisya
Mr. Arindam Chattopadhyay ...for the State

Heard learned advocates for the parties.

The petitioner in this writ petition has challenged the impugned order dated September 9, 2020 (Annexure P7, page 46) suspending him from service. It is also his grievance that the principle of natural justice has not been observed in case of him by not giving him a proper opportunity of giving reply to the show-cause notice. It is his further grievance that during pendency of the writ petition, the disciplinary proceedings have been initiated against him which he has challenged by way of filing a supplementary affidavit. The petitioner has taken a very reasonable stand in this writ petition, and he is simply praying for a direction upon the disciplinary authorities to expedite and conclude the disciplinary proceedings.

Considering the submissions of the parties, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents concerned, particularly the disciplinary authorities, to expedite and conclude the disciplinary proceedings and pass a final order, within twelve weeks from the date of communication of this order by strictly following the norms of the disciplinary proceedings and by giving an effective

opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and observing the principle of natural justice.

With the above directions, WPA No.9336 of 2020 is disposed of.

[Md. Nizamuddin, J]