

04.01.2021
Sl. No. 74
Court No.42
s.biswas

CRR 1540 of 2020

Alamgir Sk.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Gupta
Mr. Vijay Verma

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Ranabir Roy Chowdhury
Mr. Mainak Gupta

... .. for the State

The petitioner is aggrieved by the continuance of Chanditala Police Station Case No.462 of 2019 dated 24.10.2019.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner relies upon certain documents relating to the vehicle which belongs to him and for release of which he had approached this Court. According to him, he is subsequently impleaded in connection with the offence of this particular case. It is specific contention of the petitioner that he is innocent of the charges and the investigating agency perused the materials. There was no specific material against the petitioner for impleading him in connection with the offence.

Mr. Roy Chowdhury, learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the anticipatory bail application of the present petitioner was rejected by a Division Bench of this Court. There are sufficient materials appearing against the present petitioner.

Having regard to the issues raised by the petitioner, I am of the opinion that the same are question of facts which are not improbable thereby calling for interference of this Court.

Having regard to the same I do not find any reason to interfere with the investigation of the proceedings relating to

Chanditala Police Station Case no. 462 of 2019. As such the revisional application is dismissed.

The petitioner is, however, granted liberty to rely upon the documents and place the same with the investigating officer of the case for its assessment.

Thus, CRR 1540 of 2020 is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties on completion of all necessary formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)